

### MISSISSIPPI·KASKASKIA·ST. LOUIS BASIN

AD A105011

WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM WARREN COUNTY, MISSOURI MO 11005

(9) Final rept.,

(10) Walter G. /Shifrin

## PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM,

Woodridge Lake Dam (MØ 11005) Mississippi - Kaskaskia - St. Louis Basin Warren County, Missouri. Phase I Inspection Report.



**United States Army** Corps of Engineers

...Serving the Army ...Serving the Nation

St. Louis District

PREPARED BY: U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

SEPTEMBER, 1979

UNCLASSIFIED
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM					
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER					
AD-A/05 0/1						
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED					
Phase I Dam Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program	Final Panant					
Woodridge Lake Dam (MO 11005)	Final Report					
Warren County, Missouri	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER					
7. Author(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)					
Consoer, Townsend and Associates, Ltd.						
	DACW43-79-C-0075					
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS					
U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis	1					
Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101						
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE					
U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis	September 1979					
Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD	13. NUMBER OF PAGES					
210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101	Approximately 80					
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)					
	UNCLASSIFIED					
	15a, DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	<del></del>					
Approved for release; distribution unlimited.						
,						
and the state of the shared entered in Direct 20. If different from	D-mark)					
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from	m Report)					
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number	)					
Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection, Private Dams						
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	1					
This report was prepared under the National Progr						
Non-Federal Dams. This report assesses the gener						
respect to safety, based on available data and on visual inspection, to						
determine if the dam poses hazards to human life	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
}						
}						

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE



# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 210 NORTH 12TH STREET ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

IN REPLY REFER TO

SUBJECT: Woodridge Lake Dam (Mo. 11005) Phase I Inspection Report

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Woodridge Lake Dam (Mo. 11005).

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

This dam has been classified as unsafe, non-emergency by the St. Louis District as a result of the application of the following criteria:

- 1) Spillway will not pass 50 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood
- 2) Overtopping could result in dam failure
- 3) Dam failure significantly increases the hazard to loss of life downstream

SUBMITTED BY:		
	Chief, Engineering Division	Date
APPROVED BY:		
•	Colonel, CE, District Engineer	Date

Acces	sion For					
NTIS	ISAED	M				
DIIC	SAB					
	อนมากอสิ					
Justi	floation					
By	By					
Avai	lability					
	Avail and	l/or				
Dist	Special	L				
	1 1					
	1 1					

### WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM WARREN COUNTY, MISSOURI

and the second second section of the second second

MISSOURI INVENTORY NO. 11005

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

PREPARED BY

CONSOER, TOWNSEND AND ASSOCIATES LTD.

ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

AND

ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO

A JOINT VENTURE

UNDER DIRECTION OF
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
FOR
GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI

SEPTEMBER 1979

### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:

Woodridge Lake Dam, Missouri Inv. No. 11005

State Located:

Missouri

County Located:

Warren

Stream:

Unnamed Tributary of Dry Fork

Date of Inspection: May 16, 1979

#### Assessment of General Condition

Woodridge Lake Dam was inspected by the engineering firms of Consoer, Townsend, and Associates Ltd. of St. Louis, Missouri and Engineering Consultants, Inc. (a joint venture) using the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams". These guidelines were developed by the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army, Washington, D.C., with the help of Federal and state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers. The resulting guidelines are considered to represent a consensus of the engineering profession.

Based on the criteria in the guidelines, the dam is in the high hazard potential classification, which means that loss of life and appreciable property loss could occur in the event of failure of the dam. The estimated damage zone extends approximately 5-1/2 miles downstream of the dam. Within this zone are eleven dwellings and seven outbuildings which may be subjected to flooding, with possible damage and/or destruction, and possible

loss of life. Woodridge Lake Dam is in the intermedite size classification since it is more than 40 feet high, but less than 100 feet high.

inspection and evaluation indicates that spillway of Woodridge Lake Dam does not meet the criteria set forth in the guidelines for a dam having the above size and hazard Woodridge Lake Dam is a intermediate size dam with a potential. high hazard potential required by the guidelines to pass from onehalf of the Probable Maximum Flood to the Probable Maximum Flood Since there is high hazard potential without overtopping. downstream of the dam, the appropriate spillway design flood for this dam is the Probable Maximum Flood. It was determined that the reservoir/spillway system can accomodate 27 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping the dam. Our evaluation indicates that the reservoir/spillway system will accomodate the 100-year flood; that is, a flood having a l percent chance of being equalled or exceeded during any given year.

The Probable Maximum Flood is defined as the flood discharge that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorological and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region.

Other deficiencies noted by the inspection team were the deep erosion gullies along left and right abutments contacts, wave erosion on the upstream embankment slope, undercutting at the discharge end of the service spillway pipe and poorly channelized exit for the emergency spillway discharges. The lack of stability and seepage analysis on record is also a deficiency that should be corrected.

It is recommended that the owner take action to correct or control the deficiencies described above.

Walter G. Shifrin, P.E.





Orerview of Woodridge Lake Dam

### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

WOODRIDGE LAKE, I.D. No. 31140

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect. No	0.		Title										Page
SECTION	1	PROJ	ECT INFORMATIO	ON				•					1
		1.1	General										1
		1.2											_
		1.3	Pertinent Dat			_							8
SECTION	2	ENGI	NEERING DATA			•					•		11
		2.1	Design									•	11
		2.2	Construction										11
•		2.3	Operation .	•	•								11
		2.4	Evaluation .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
CECTION		UTCU	A. THE PROPERTY										
SECTION 3	3		AL INSPECTION										14
			Findings										14
		3.2	Evaluation .	•	•	•	•	•	•				18

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### (Continued)

Sect. No.	<u>Title</u>	Page
SECTION 4	OPERATION PROCEDURES	20
	4.1 Procedures	20
	4.2 Maintenance of Dam	20
	4.3 Maintenance of Operating	
	Facilities	21
	4.4 Description of Any Warning	
	System in Effect	21
	4.5 Evaluation	21
SECTION 5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	22
	5.1 Evaluation of Features	22
SECTION 6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY	26
	6.1 Evaluation of Structural	
	Stability	26
SECTION 7	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	29
	7.1 Dam Assessment	29
	7.2 Remedial Measures	31

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

#### LIST OF PLATES

	Plate No.
LOCATION MAP	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • 1
PLAN AND ELEVATION OF	DAM 2-3
GEOLOGIC MAPS	5-6
	APPENDICES
APPENDIX A -	PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX B - 1	HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

### PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM, Missouri Inv. No. 11005

#### SECTION 1: PROJECT INFORMATION

#### 1.1 General

#### a. Authority

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 of August, 1972, authorizes the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspections. Inspection for Woodridge Lake Dam was carried out under Contract DACW 43-79-C-0075 to the Department of the Army, St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, by the engineering firms of Consoer, Townsend & Associates Ltd., and Engineering Consultants, Inc. (A Joint Venture), of St. Louis, Missouri.

#### b. Purpose of Inspection

The visual inspection of Woodridge Lake Dam was made on May 16, 1979. The purpose of the inspection was to make a general assessment as to the structural integrity and operational adequacy of the dam embankment and its appurtenant structures.

#### c. Scope of Report

This report summarizes available pertinent data relating to the project; presents a summary of visual observations made during the field inspection; presents an assessment of hydrologic and hydraulic conditions at the site; presents an assessment as to the structural adequacy of the various project features; and assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety.

Subsurface investigations, laboratory testing, and detailed analyses were not within the scope of this study. The conclusions drawn herein, therefore, are based on the presence of, or absence of, obvious signs of distress. No warranty as to the absolute safety of the project features is implied by the conclusions presented in this report.

It should be noted that reference in this report to left or right abutments is as viewed looking downstream. Where left abutment or left side of the dam is used in this report, this also refers to north abutment or side, and right to the south abutment or side.

#### d. Evaluation Criteria

Criteria used to evaluate the dam were furnished by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", Appendix D. These guidelines were developed with the help of several Federal agencies and many state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers.

#### 1.2 Description of the Project

#### a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

Two drawings for Woodridge Lake Dam were obtained. These drawings are given as plates in this report. The drawings do not appear to be as built drawings, and the dimensions and elevations are, therefore, approximate. The description below is based primarily on observations and measurements made during the visual inspection, supplemented by information shown on the drawings.

The dam embankment is a compacted earthfill structure. The drawings show the dam to have a clay core with a top width of 20 feet, and a bottom width of 50 feet. A clay cutoff trench is also shown on the drawings to have been constructed. This cutoff trench is shown to have a bottom width of 20 feet, a height of 5 feet, and side slopes of 1V to 3H.

The crest, which functions as a sand and gravel surfaced roadway is 25 feet wide. The crest length is 485 feet, and the crest elevation is approximately 821.0 feet MSL. The hydraulic height of the embankment is 58.5 feet, and the 5 foot high cutoff trench makes the structural height equal to 63.5 feet.

The downstream slope of the embankment was measured as 1V to 2.75H. The drawings show the upstream slope to be 1V to 3H. One notable difference in slopes is a horizontal berm about seven feet below the crest on the upstream side. This berm could be seen under water. No riprap was placed on the upstream slope. The entire exposed embankment with the exception of the roadway crest and some erosion gullies had a

grass cover. From exposures in erosion gullies the embankment material appears to be mainly residual soils containing much gravel and sand.

The dam is situated on the border between the Dissected Till Plain Section of Central Lowlands Physiographic Province which extends to the north and the Ozark Plateau Province to the south. Although the area in which the dam and reservoir are located was glaciated during Pleistocene time, the till and loess which characterize the uplands of the Till Plains have been largely removed by erosion since the end of the Pleistocene. The area is characterized by wooded hills which have gentle to steep slopes.

The bedrock geology of the area, as shown on the Geologic Map of Missouri (1979), typically consists of gently northeastwardly dipping (ca. 30-50 feet/mile) sediments of Paleozoic age. To the north of Warren County these beds are often capped by young (Pleistocene) deposits of glacial drift and wind blown loess. In southern areas of the county the bedrocks is generally covered by residual soil, colluvium, or alluvium. The rocks underlying the area are predominately carbonates (limestones and dolomites), although beds of sandstone and shale are not infrequent.

The bedrock of Warren County contains some minor folding. The largest known geologic structure in the area is a gentle anticline centered about 2 1/2 miles northwesterly of the town of Warrenton. This fold may have affected the beds at the damsite.

Woodridge Lake Dam contains two spillways. The service spillway is a 36 inch diameter vertical drop inlet steel pipe located 340 feet from the right abutment of the dam. Ten feet from the top of the pipe a 24-inch diameter corrugated metal pipe connects to the steel drop inlet pipe. This corrugated metal pipe was constructed through the embankment to a discharge point at the downstream toe of the dam. A steel anti-vortex plate and a trashrack made of \$4 reinforcing bars are located at the intake to the drop inlet pipe. The downstream end of the service spillway discharges into a pool just downstream of the toe of the dam.

The emergency spillway is an open channel located at the left abutment of the dam. The channel crosses the road. The spillway is V-shaped, with a total width at the top 74.0 feet and side slopes of approximately 1V to 22H on the left bank and 1V to 30H on the right bank. The maximum depth of the spillway is 17 inches.

There is no operating outlet pipe or low level drain pipe at the  $\mathtt{dam}_{\bullet}$ 

#### b. Location

Woodridge Lake Dam is situated on a small intermittant stream about 1000 feet upstream of its confluence with the intermittant Dry Fork. Dry Fork flows about 7 miles in a generally southerly then southeasterly direction to Charette Creek which joins the Missouri River about four miles to the southeast near Marthasville, Missouri. The main access to the dam and lake from Warrenton, Missouri, is south on State Highway No. 47 approximately 2 miles to a small gravel road. The dam and lake is located 1/2 mile west of the State Highway off of the gravel road. The damsite is shown on the Warrenton

Quadrangle Sheet (7.5 minute series) in Section 5, Township 46 North, Range 2 West.

#### Size Classification

According to the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", by the U.S. Department of the Army, Office of the Chief Engineer, the dam is classified in the dam size category as being "Small" since its storage is less than 1,000 acre-feet. The dam is classified as "Intermediate" in dam size category because its height is more than 40 feet, but less than 100 feet. The overall size classification is governed by the larger of the two determinations, and the classification is, accordingly, "Intermediate" in size.

#### d. Hazard Classification

The dam has been classified as having "High" hazard potential in the National Inventory of Dams, on the basis that in the event of failure of the dam or its appurtenances, excessive damage could occur to downstream property, together with the possibility of the loss of life. The estimated damage zone extends approximately 5-1/2 miles downstream of the dam. Within this zone, eleven dwellings and seven outbuildings may be found.

#### e. Ownership

Woodridge Lake Dam is owned by Woodridge Trustees. The mailing audress is Woodridge Trustees, c/o Mary Grubb, P. O. Box 339, Warrenton, Missouri, 63383.

#### f. Purpose of Dam

The main purpose of the dam is to impound water for recreational use for landowners adjacent to the dam.

#### g. Design and Construction History

Woodridge Lake was designed in 1975 by Stolwyk, McDaniel, Ferrenbach of Clayton, Missouri. A limited set of plans has been made available for this report.

The lake was designed for recreational purposes only and is exclusively used by the residents of Woodridge. The contractor for the construction in 1975 was Norvell Construction of 3320 Hermoso St., St. Louis, MO.

After the structure was completed, a 2 inch waterline with a water faucet was installed on the right upstream side near the boat ramp by Don Burgess, a local resident. The faucet was provided for clean-up purposes for the convenience of the local residents. This information was obtained on the day of inspection from a trustee, Mr. Goodson and one of the maintenance personnel, Mr. D. Simpson of Woodridge.

#### h. Normal Operational Procedures

The dam was built to impound water for recreational use. The lake is privately owned and operated by the residents of Woodridge. The water level is controlled by rainfall, runoff, evaporation and the 36 inch drop inlet pipe. The inspection team is not aware of any operational or water level records for Woodridge Lake.

### 1.3 Pertinent Data\*

a. Drainage Area (square miles):	0.66
b. Discharge at Damsite	
Estimated experienced maximum flood (cfs):	10
Estimated ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation (cfs):	203
c. Elevation (Feet above MSL)	
Top of dam:	821.0
Spillway crest:	
Service Spillway	814.0
Emergency Spillway	819.6
Normal Pool	814.0
Maximum Pool (PMF):	823-14
d. Reservoir	
Length of maximum pool: (Feet)	2745
e. Storage (Acre-Feet)	
Top of dam:	740
Spillway crest:	
Service Spillway	533
Emergency Spillway	694
Normal Pool:	533
Maximum Pool (PMF):	740
f. Reservoir Surface (Acres)	
Top of dam:	35
Spillway crest:	
Service Spillway	26
Emergency Spillway	32 <u>+</u>

Normal Pool: 26 36 Maximum Pool (PMF): g. Dam Rolled Earthfill Type: Length: 485 feet Structural Height: 63.5 feet Hydraulic Height: 58.5 feet Top width: 25.0 feet Side slopes: Downstream 1V to 2.75H 1V to 3.00H (As shown on design Upstream drawings) Drawings show a "Clay Core" with Zoning: undefined materials upstream and downstream of the core. "Clay Core" has a top width of 20 Impervious core: feet and a bottom width of 50 feet, located at the upstream edge of the dam crest. Cutoff: Drawings show a clay cutoff trench with a 20 foot bottom width, a 50 foot top width, a typical depth of 5 feet, and side slopes of 1V to 3H. None Grout curtain: Diversion and Regulating Tunnel None í. **Spillway** 

Type:

Service Spillway

Drop inlet

Emergency Spillway

Open channel

Length of weir:

Service Spillway
Emergency Spillway

3-foot diameter drop inlet pipe 74 feet (top width of V-shaped channel at top of dam elevation)

Crest Elevation (feet above MSL):

Service Spillway

814

Emergency Spillway

819.6

j. Regulating Outlets

NONE

\* In this section maximum pool refers to top of dam elevation, unless otherwise specified.

#### SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

#### 2.1 Design

A limited set of drawings for Woodridge Lake Dam have been made available from the engineering firm of Stolwyk, McDaniel, Ferrenbach of Clayton, Missouri. This firm has designed several dams in the central Missouri area. The local Soil Conservation Office in Warrenton has no records for this impoundment.

#### 2.2 Construction

According to the owners, the dam has been constructed of native clay. The contractor for the dam was Norvell Construction of St. Louis, Missouri. The only construction data available was that received from the engineer and is included in this report.

#### 2.3 Operation

The only record of operation for the lake and dam was obtained verbally from one of the trustees of Woodridge. Normal operation is to allow the lake to remain as full as possible while being controlled by rainfall, runoff, evaporation, and the drop inlet structure.

#### 2.4 Evaluation

#### a. Availability

The only available data for the project includes two drawings. No pertinent data was available for review of hydrology, spillway capacity, flood routing through the reservoir, outlet capacity, slope stability, seepage analysis, or foundation conditions.

#### b. Adequacy

The available engineering data is inadequate to aid in evaluating the hydraulic and hydrologic capabilities and stability of the dam for Phase I investigations.

The lack of engineering data did not allow for a definitive review and evaluation. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing and evaluating design, operation and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history, and sound engineering judgment.

Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions (including earthquake loads) and made a matter of record.

#### c. Validity

The data given on the available drawings appears to describe the constructed embankment and spillways. The drawings give few details for the dam and appurtenant structures.

#### SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

#### 3.1 Findings

#### a. General

A visual inspection of the Woodridge Lake Dam was made on May 16, 1979. The following persons were present during the inspection:

Name	Affiliation	Disciplines
Dr. M.A. Samad	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Project Engineer, Hydraulics and Hydrology
Jon Diebei	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Structural and Mechanical
Peter Strauss	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Soils
Peter Howard	Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Geology
Kevin Blume	Consoer, Townsend & Assoc., Ltd.	Civil and Structural

Specific observations are discussed below.

#### b. Dam

A water pipe is located on the upstream face of the dam near the right abutment. A representative of the owner reported that the 2 inch diameter pipeline travels parallel to the roadway and is buried about 3-4 feet deep in the upstream face.

The shoreline shows much scalloping and subsequent sloughing from wave action. Where the embankment is scalloped a 1 1/2 to 3 foot high scrap is seen. The steepened angle of the scrap can be seen sloughing back to its angle of repose.

Numerous small erosion gullies exist on the downstream face. A drainage gulley exists in the natural ground close to the downstream right abutment contact. At present there is no danger to the embankment.

A drainage gulley at the downstream left abutment contact is eroding both embankment material and natural ground. This gulley extends from the dam crest to the toe. This gulley ranges from 2-5 feet wide and from 3-4 feet deep. The side walls are nearly vertical and are sloughing.

The crest and the downstream slope of the embankment appears to be in good condition. The crest appears to be adequately protected and the downstream embankment slope is an even slope with a good vegetative cover. No rodent activity was observed on the embankment. The rocks underlying the dam are cherty limestones and dolomite limestones of Mississippian age (Osagean). These rocks are resting unconformably on Kinderhovkian rocks (Chouteau Formation) and the contact between the rocks is about one mile south of the damsite. These rocks are exposed near both abutments of the dam. The beds are dipping very gently to the northwest. This apparent swing in dip from the regional dip to the northeast may be related to the Warren County anticline 4 1/2 miles to the north.

The Soil Conservation Service (Soil Survey of Montgomery and Warren Counties, Missouri, 1978) reports the soils forming the bottom land at the damsite are composed of silty sands (SM) and silty gravels (GM). The upslope soils consist of silty gravel (GM, GC) low on the slope and silty clay (CL-ML), sandy clay (CL,SC) and clay (CH, MH) higher up.

The carbonate of the Osagean series (Mississippian) are flat lying competant rocks and are suitable for a dam foundation. No serious fault or shear zones are known to exist in the vicinity of the dam. Ideally potential solution channels, such as joints, should be blanketed upstream of the toe and abutments. It is not known how the placement of the fill at the base and abutments of the dam was done. However, there is no indication of leakage at the abutments.

#### Appurtenant Structures

#### (1) Spillway

The service spillway pipe appeared to be in satisfactory condition. The intake end, with anti-vortex plate and trashrack, and the discharge end of the pipe are both in good condition. The discharge end of the pipe was not constructed with a headwall, and the embankment material is beginning to erode under the pipe. It appears that this undercutting of the pipe will continue until protection is provided for the material at this location. A small pool has formed downstream of the discharge end of the spillway pipe. This pool will not drain in its current condition.

The general condition of the emergency spillway is satisfactory. However, it appears that discharges through the spillway will flow down the left abutment contact. Some erosion gullies having a maximum size of 3 feet deep by 3 feet wide have formed along the abutment contact at this time. Discharges through the spillway flow into a slough area which has formed downstream of the toe of the dam. This area is marshy and filled with cattails and other phreatophyte.

#### (2) Outlet Works

There is no operating outlet pipe or low level drain pipe at the dam.

#### d. Reservoir Area

The water surface elevation was 814 feet above MSL at the time of inspection.

The reservoir rim is gently to moderately sloping with trees and woods near the slope. No evidence of any instability was observed.

#### e. Downstream Channel

The downstream channel is well defined with some vegetative and tree growth about 100 feet downstream from the spillway discharge area. No major obstacles or debris were observed along the downstream channel. Only minor erosion could be observed in a few areas.

#### 3.2 Evaluation

The following problems were observed which could affect the safety of the dam or which will require maintenance within a reasonable period of time.

- Deep erosion gulley along downstream left abutment contact, and erosion gullies at the right abutment contact.
- 2. Wave erosion on the upstream embankment slope.
- 3. Poorly channelized exit for the emergency spillway discharges which causes ponded water at downstream toe and erosion along the left abutment contact.

4. Undercutting on the discharge end of the service spillway pipe, and the ponded water found downstream of this pipe.

#### SECTION 4: OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

#### 4.1 Procedures

There are no set operational procedures for Wood-ridge Lake Dam. As mentioned in Section 2, the lake is allowed to remain as full as possible as a result of the natural phenomena of rainfall, evaporation, runoff and the drop inlet structure discharge.

#### 4.2 Maintenance of Dam

Woodridge Lake Dam is maintained by the resident trustees and several land owners around the lake. Since the structure is fairly new, no trees or shrubs were noticed on either slope.

There is a two lane gravel road along the crest of the dam which provides satisfactory protection for the crest.

The upstream slope seems to be slightly eroded near the water surface. There is no riprap in this area. There are erosion gullies forming at each abutment on the downstream side near the emergency spillway. This erosion should be arrested before it is allowed to erode the embankment material.

Financial records are kept by the trustees regarding maintenance but no physical records were available for this report.

#### 4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The only facility at the damsite which requires any attention is the 36 inch steel pipe drop inlet structure. There are no gates or valves associated with this spillway and the trash rack is a series of bars which are welded to the top of the pipe below the anti-vortex plate.

#### 4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect

The inspection team is not aware of any warning system in use at the dam site.

#### 4.5 Evaluation

The operation and maintenance for Woodridge Lake
Dam seems to be adequate. The trustees and local maintenance
personnel live in close proximity to the dam and its surrounding areas.

#### SECTION 5: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

#### 5.1 Evaluation of Features

#### a. Design

The watershed area of the Woodridge Lake Dam upstream from the dam axis consists of approximately 420 acres. Most of the watershed area is wooded and covered with grass. Land gradients in the higher regions of the watershed average roughly 8 percent, and in the lower areas surrounding the reservoir average about 4 percent. The Woodridge Lake Reservoir is located on an unnamed tributary of Dry Creek. The reservoir is about 1000 feet upstream from the confluence of the unnamed tributary and Dry Creek. At its longest arm the watershed is approximately 1 1/4 mile long. A drainage map showing the watershed area is presented as Plate 1 in Appendix B.

Evaluation of the hydraulic and hydrologic features of Woodridge Lake Dam was based on criteria set forth in the Corps of Engineers' Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, and additional guidance provided by the St. Louis District of the Corps of Engineers. The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was calculated from the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) using the methods outlined in the U.S. Weather Bureau Publication, Hydrometeorological Report No. 33. The probable maximum storm duration was set at 24 hours, and storm rainfall distribution was based on criteria given in EM 1110-2-1411 (Standard Project Storm). The SCS method was used for deriving the unit hydrograph, utilizing the Corps of

Engineers' computer program HEC-1, (Dam Safety Version). The unit hydrograph parameters are presented in Appendix B. The SCS method was also used for determining loss rate. The hydrologic soil group of the watershed was determined by use of published soil maps. The hydrologic soil group of the watershed and the SCS curve number are also presented in Appendix B. The curve number, the unit hydrograph parameters, the PMP index rainfall and the percentages for various durations were directly input to the HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version) computer program to obtain the PMF hydrograph. The computed peak discharges of the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 7143 cfs and 3571 cfs respectively.

Both the PMF and one-half of the PMF inflow hydrographs were routed through the reservoir by the Modified Puls Method also utilizing the HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version) computer program. The reservoir was assumed at the spillway crest level at the start of routing computation. The peak outflow discharges for the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 5259 and 2325 cfs respectively. Both the PMF and one-half of the PMF, when routed through the reservoir results in overtopping of the dam.

The stage-outflow relation for the spillway was prepared from field notes, and sketches, prepared during the field inspection. The reservoir stage-capacity data were based on the U.S.G.S Warrenton Quandrangle topographic map (7.5 minute series). The spillway and overtop rating curve and the reservoir capacity curve are presented in Plates 2 & 3 respectively in Appendix B.

From the standpoint of dam safety, the hydrologic design of a dam aims at avoiding overtopping. Overtopping is especially dangerous for an earth dam because the downrush of waters over the crest will erode the dam embankment and release all the stored water into the downstream floodplain. The safe hydrologic design of a dam requires a spillway discharge capability, in combination with an embankment crest height that can handle a very large and exceedingly rare flood without overtopping.

The Corps of Engineer designs its dams to safely pass the Probable Maximum Flood that is estimated could be generated from the upstream watershed. This is the generally accepted criterion for major dams throughout the world, and is the standard for dam safety where overtopping would pose any threat to human life. According to the Corps criteria, the hydrologic requirement for safety for this dam is the capability to pass the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping.

#### b. Experience Data

No records of reservoir stage or spillway discharge are maintained for this site. However, according to the representative of owner, the maximum reservoir level was about 6 inches above the crest of the service spillway.

#### c. Visual Observations

Observations made of the spillway during the visual inspection are discussed in Section 3.1c(l) and evaluated in Section 3.2.

### d. Overtopping Potential

As indicated in Section 5.1-a, both the Probable Maximum Flood and one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood, when routed through the reservoir, resulted in overtopping of the dam. The peak outflow discharges for the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 5259 and 2325 cfs respectively. The PMF overtopped the dam crest 2.14 feet and one-half of the PMF overtopped the dam crest by 1.05 feet. The total duration of embankment overflow is 6.00 hours during the PMF, and 3.42 hours during one-half of the PMF. The spillway for Woodridge Lake Dam is capable of passing a flood equal to approximately 27 percent of the PMF just before overtopping the dam.

The computed one percent chance flood using 100-year, 24 hour rainfall data was routed through the reservoir, and is given in the last section in Appendix B. The routing results indicate the reservoir/spillway system will accommodate the 100-year flood without overtopping the dam.

The failure of the dam could cause extensive damage to the property downstream of the dam and possible loss of life. The estimated damage zone extends approximately 5-1/2 miles downstream of the dam. Within this zone, eleven dwellings and seven outbuildings may be found.

### SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

### 6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

### a. Visual Observations

The wave action on the upstream slope of the embankment is causing substantial erosion and sloughing of embankment materials. Further erosion to the slope will decrease the embankment section, reducing its structural stability. This condition should be repaired by stabilization of the slope.

The erosion gullies on the abutment contacts also are removing parts of the embankment. These should be repaired to prevent the removal of additional embankment material.

The route of discharges through the emergency spillway threatens the structural integrity of the embankment. During a flood situation flows through the spillway could potentially erode a substantial amount of the embankment. This condition must be remedied by channelization of the route of the spillway discharges away from the embankment.

The undercutting of the material surrounding the service spillway pipe should be stopped by stabilization of the surrounding materials. Furthermore, the pond downstream of the spillway pipe should be drained by cutting a channel downstream of the pond to drain the standing water. The marshy area to the left of the spillway pipe is also undesir-

able, but will remain as long as spillway discharges through the emergency spillway flow along the abutment contact to the toe of the dam. Following channelization of the emergency spillway channel away from the embankment, this area should be filled with compacted earthfill such that a positive slope will exist into the streambed downstream of the dam.

No signs of settlement or distress were observed on the downstream slope of the dam or in the foundation. Seepage was not observed on the embankment or downstream of the toe of the embankment. The standing water and marshy areas are thought to be from surface drainage or spillway discharges.

### b. Design and Construction Data

No design or construction data relating to the structural stability of the dam or appurtenant structures were found.

### c. Operating Records

No operating records are available relating to the stability of the dam or appurtenant structures. Water levels have not been recorded, however, the reservoir was almost full on the day of inspection, and is assumed to be close to full at all times.

### d. Post Construction Changes

No post construction changes are known to exist which will affect the structural stability of the dam.

### e. Seismic Stability

According to the Seismic Zone Map of Contiguous States, Form TM 5~809-10/NAVFAC P-355/AFM 88-3 Chapter 13; April 1979, the portion of Missouri in which Woodridge Lake Dam is located is in Seismic Zone 2. The engineer performing the stability analysis on the embankment shall determine the necessity of a seismic analysis for this embankment.

### SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

### 7.1 Dam Assessment

The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

It should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team.

It is also important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there by any chance that an unsafe condition could be detected.

### a. Safety

The spillway capacity of Woodridge Lake Dam was found to be "Seriously Inadequate". The spillway/reservoir system will accommodate only 27 percent of the PMF without overtopping the dam. The spillway and the reservoir will accommodate the 100-year flood without overtopping the dam.

The dam is overtopped over two feet during the PMF and the duration of embankment overflow is six hours. If the body of the dam is made up of silty soils, overtopping could result in dam failure.

Several conditions exist at Woodridge Lake Dam which can jeopardize the safety of the structure. The sloughing and eroding of the embankment materials on the upstream embankment slope is a potentially dangerous condition. The slope should be stabilized by either flattening of the embankment slope or by the addition of rock riprap to the embankment slope.

The handling of surface drainage and spillway discharges are causing structural problems at the damsite. Erosion gullies are present at both abutment contacts. These should be repaired, and future erosion prevented by proper grading at the abutments of the dam.

The left abutment contact is being further damaged by flows through the emergency spillway. This condition should be remedied by channelizing the discharges through the spillway away from the embankment. Following this work, the marshy condition and ponds at the downstream toe of the embankment should be drained and filled with compacted earthfill.

The dam has no available stability or seepage analysis. To assure that conventional stability safety factors are comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" exist, stability analyses should be made.

### b. Adequacy of Information

Adequate information concerning the dam and appurtenant structures is not available. No seepage and stability analyses were available for review.

### c. Urgency

The remedial measures recommended in Paragraph 7.2 should be accomplished in the near future. The items recommended in paragraph 7.2a should be pursued on a high priority basis.

### d. Necessity for Phase II Inspection

Based on results of the Phase I inspection, and if the remedial measures recommended in Paragraph 7.2 are undertaken as soon as possible, a Phase II inspection is not felt to be necessary.

### 7.2 Remedial Measures

### a. Alternative:


 Spillway capacity and/or height of the dam should be increased to accommodate the PMF without overtopping the dam.

### b. 0 & M Procedures:


1. Stabilize the upstream embankment slope to prevent future sloughing and erosion due to wave action.

- 2. Channelize the emergency spillway discharge channel to prevent discharges through the spillway from flowing along the left abutment contact of the dam.
- 3. Repair the erosion gullies at the abutment contacts, and prevent further erosion by proper grading of the abutments of the dam.
- 4. Stabilize the material surrounding the downstream end of the service spillway pipe by the addition of a concrete headwall on the end of the pipe or rock riprap on the slope.
- 5. Drain the pond downstream of the service spillway pipe, and fill the marshy area to the left of this pipe with compacted earth.
- 6. Seepage and stability analyses should be performed by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earth dams.
- 7. The owner should initiate the following programs.
  - (a) Periodic inspection of the dam by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earthen dams.
  - (b) Set up a maintenance schedule and log all visits to the dam for operation, repairs and maintenance.


PLATES



LOCATION MAP - WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM



WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM (MO. 11005) PLAN, ELEVATION & SECTION



, 31, 32 ないでき



# DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 210 NORTH 12TH STREET ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

---

SUBJECT: Woodridge Lake Dam (Mo. 11005) Phase I Inspection Report


This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Woodridge Lake Dam (Mo. 11005).

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

This dam has been classified as unsafe, non-emergency by the St. Louis District as a result of the application of the following criteria:

- 1) Spillway will not pass 50 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood
- 2) Overtopping could result in dam failure
- Dam failure significantly increases the hazard to loss of life downstream

SUBMITTED BY:		
	Chief, Engineering Division	Date
APPROVED BY:		
·	Colonel, CE, District Engineer	Date



٠

ľ

i.

## APPENDIX A

PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN DURING INSPECTION




PHOTO INDEX FOR WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM


### WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM

- D1 Upstream Embankment Slope
- D2 Downstream Embankment Slope
- D3 Sloughing on Upstream Embankment Slope
- D4 View Dosntream of Dam
- D5 View Dosntream of Dam
- D6 Erosion at Right Abutment Contact
- D7 Erosion at Left Abutment Contact
- D8 Service Spillway Intake
- D9 Service Spillway Discharge
- D10 Emergency Spillway
- Dll Emergency Spillway
- D12 Left Abutment Contact




D I











D 5









D9






D11



D12

## APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS



WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM (MO. 11005)
DRAINAGE BASIN

# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

	SAFETY IN Missouri D	AM 11005	·	JOB NO. <u>12</u>	40-001-1
OVERTOP		RATING CURI		BY #18	DATE 5-21-7
	<del>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </del>		<del></del>	M.R.H.	
					+ + 1 -+
			<u> </u>	2 2	<u> </u>
	2.5	- 0 3		CRITICA	
	<del></del>		SPI II WAY		<del></del>
	52.54 206.76	60 0	2	2 8	
	£ £	6.00	36	DAPTH	
	77 77 17	5 0 3	SECTION	<u> </u>	·
<del></del>		S 0 5	3	Assume0	4
		9	8	3 7	G <sub>h</sub>
	7.59		00	3	
	77	01	_	3	,
				2	)!
	89.	120 0	8	•	
				00	+ -
	19 0	5003	3 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		
	1004.83	0 55	\$		
	₩ ₩ F	<del>┉┈╬┈┉</del>			
	- 50 50 50	20 00 2016	= 819.5		
	821.51/ 822.98	82085	19 to	· / / / · ·	
		Oi .		134	
	3.49	Fu . 1 . 1	:		
		<u> </u>		<b>2</b>	
	2.63 2.63	4. 4. W		· II · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	****
	£ 5 5			2	
ļ	9 0				
	5 N 5	£ 1		Th. 1	
	2,44.75 2,580.78	1 1			
		<u></u>			
			3	`	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
1	10 45				
	1585.61		0	+ + + +	
	5. 6 7L		* ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	2 6	• • • • •	<u> </u>		
1					

# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

UR			TION - MISSOURI	SHEET NO OF
		PURI DAM		JOB NO. 1240-001-1
	OP INLE	SPILLWAY	RATING CURVE	BY KLB DATE 5-23-2
			NEET SPINALTY	M.P.W. J
4	1 4		FL = 814 CASSUM	<b>60)</b>
•		. ,		
		_		
	-		250 2	
	****			1
. 🖳				†±++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
EL=8	0 H			[2'-14']
	:		2'0 0	F4 - 789
: -				EL = 765
	. i	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	SAIN	WAY DIE EN	BRGE (ASSUME NO T	AL WATER EFFECT)
AT	WL. 81	4.5=	4, = 814.5 -819 =	9.5
	a)	WEIR FLOW	-	
		ASSUM	e <= 3.03	
		4	34	2
	+		L H = 3.03 KW X	13/x avs
		=	10,10 CFS	
	6)	PERMISSABL	E PLOW THROUGH ?	WE PIPE.
		1		44 - 744
		SLOPE OF	THE PIPE = 5 =	250
			6 = -	= 0.160 FX
			250	
	1			1

# EG-4 ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

	DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI MISSOURI DAM 11005							SHEET NO. 2 OF								
		Di	ROP	INLET	SF	INWAY	RA	TING	CUI	RVE	JOB	XLB		TH 5	- 23	_ -フ
								<del></del>			<del></del>	421.		7 7		_ 
1							1 !		1	!	! !		1 !			•
,			1)	CRITI	المس	51.00	E							4	: .	•
			0)		-74	2.07		•	' ! ;		, .			i	•	
		,					10114	,	- 4			ļ	· · ·			<u>.</u>
			:	2 =	15	= -	732,Z	=	<b>I.</b>	72	•		•			
	•				. 🧖 .			•							• •	
		٠			•	1.78	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			; ;						
				2.5	. <b></b>	1-28	=	0.8				1				
							;									
			i !	FAR	2	+ 0.8	21	Ye .		5.4	<b>&gt;</b>	. = 1	10		•	
•	•	•	 I	, , , ,	d. 2.5	7 :0,0	14 )	do		,	/. ;s		4		•	
			ļ							ļ	- • •	ļ.				
. :					:/_	97 A R 2	1	<b>)</b> 2						-	·····	
	٠			3a =	( )	49 AR2	,		; 1				• •		• •-	
٠	٠			• • •				k - k.		†·       †	4 .1	11				
			! • »   •   •		ASSE	INE N	1 =	0.0	14			-	· · · ·			_
			1				]						<del> </del> + +		<b></b>	
				. Ye	* O.	56 >	A	= 0	50	# =	₽ — =	1,00	<del>,</del>	. 1 .	• • •	
•			•	do	, . <b>~</b> *;	J. 7.	Ao			r K		77.2	÷ • •			
			+ •				- 7	2		ļ		2		: 		
			i .	WA	ERE	Ao =	4	do	= 0,	785	<b>7</b> × . :	2	· · ·			
						_	3	14 0				+ -	• •			
	•	•	•								•	- '				-
						R	= 0	254	0=	0,25	*	2 =	0,5	0		
			•	,								-		) .		
			•	• • !	A =	0.56	3 × 3	.14 =	= 1	.82	P		. •	į .	•	
					<i>R</i> =	1.07	x 0.	50 =	0	54		+	······			
					<b>۲</b> =	110	.10	0.01	14	-		2		1		
					٠, ٠	1.4	9 x .7	.87 X	0.5	93			• • •			
						7							· · ·	; ·		
		·		• • •			~ ~/ ·	7.	<i>-</i>		0.0	- 40				
						0.0	206		ر ٠	1	14 (2) 1000	PER C	RITI	AL.		
				!	La !	CONTRO	14 13	CR	itica	L DE	PFN	**	-	e		_
			,	•		4	النيس	1 1 2		-	<del>-  </del>	ė	و ا	; ·	, .	
			i		, , ,	MNO .	18	- 7	1.30	4 FS	Z	+	<b></b>	-		
: -	•								. 4	· ;		<b>†</b> ;		ļ +	+	

# EC-4 ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

		SPECTION - MISSOURI	SHEET NO. 3 OF
		DAM HOD 6	JOB NO. 1240-001-1
	SPINWAY	RATING CURVE,	BY KL8 DATE 5-23-7
	WL AT SI	<u>'S</u> :	
	4)	WEIR FLOW	
•		Q = CLH 3/2 = 3.03 x(87,	x 3) x 7 = 28.56 CFS.
		) PERMISSIBLE DISCHARGE	THROUGH FIRE
	•	$Z = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{g}} = \frac{28.56}{\sqrt{32.2}} = 5.$	03.
		$\frac{2}{d_0^{2.5}} = \frac{5.03}{2^{2.5}} = 0.89$	
		$\frac{y_c}{d\rho} = 0.92 \Rightarrow y_c$	= 1,84
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	$\frac{\chi_c}{q_0} = 0.92 \Rightarrow \frac{d}{q_0} = 0.96$	
		A = 9.92 x 7 x(22) =	3,89
		$R = \frac{1}{4}(2) \times 1.18 =$	1
		$S_{c} = \left(\frac{28.56 \times 0.014}{1.49 \times 2.85 \times 0.59^{20}}\right)^{2}$	
		; PIPE FLOW IS SUI	Company of the Compan
		AND CONTROL WO WEIR CONDITIONS	
		FLOW DOES NOT	occuR.
	i	SINCE Y = 1.89	\$SURE FLOW.
	<b>∠</b> ;)	PRESSURE FLOW.	
	<b>Y</b>		
		Hp = (1+ Ke + +	b) = g
	e I		

### EC.4 ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

WL AT 815 (KONT, )

C) CHECK PRESSURE FLOW

Assume  $K_c = 0.10$ f = 0.023 FOR m = 0.019

 $H_{r} = (1.0 + 0.10 + 0.023 = 20) \frac{V^{2}}{29}$ 

 $H_T = 3.98 \frac{V^2}{29}$ 

 $V = \sqrt{\frac{29 \text{ H}}{3.98}} = 4.02 \text{ JHz}$ 

Q=A.V = Tx2x 4.02 VHz

Q= 12,63 VHz

1/2 = 815 -765 = 50.0

Q= 12.63 \ 50 = 189.31 CFS > 28.56

AT ELEV 815 , WEIR FLOW

CONTROLS USE Q = 28,56

# WL AT 816

A) WEIR FLOW

Q = CLH 3/2 = 3,03 x (11 x 3)x 2 3/2

Q = 80,77 CFS

## ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI SHEET NO. 5 OF MISSOURI DAM 11005 JOB NO. 1240 - 001-1 SPILLWAY RATING CURVE BY KLB DATE 5-23-79 M.C.L.V

# WL. AT 816.

b) PERMISSIBLE DISCHARGE IN PIAE

$$Z = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{3}} = \frac{80.77}{\sqrt{32.2}} = 14,23$$

$$\frac{2}{d_0^{2.5}} = \frac{14.23}{2^{2.5}} = 2.52$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\gamma_c}{q_0} = 0.98, \Rightarrow \frac{A}{A_0} = 0.995, \frac{R}{R_0} = 1.09$$

$$\frac{y_c}{40} = 0.98 \Rightarrow y_c = 1.96$$

$$R = \frac{1}{9}(2) \times 1.09 = 0.55$$

=> SLOPE IS SUPER CRITICAL

CHECK PRESSURE FLOW

() PRESSURE FLOW

$$Q = 12.63 \sqrt{51} = 90,20 > 80.77$$

FLOW IS CONTROLLED BY WEIR FLOW AT THE CREST AND Q = 80,77

## ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI SHEET NO. 6 OF MISSOURI DAM 11005 JOB NO. 1240-001-1

SPINWAY RATING CURVE. BY HLB DATE 5-23-79

MR.H. V

WL AT BIT

a) WEIR FLOW

Q = CLH 3/2 = 3,03x (TX3) < 33/2 = 148.39

b) PERMISSABLE FLOW IN PIPE.

$$Z = \frac{Q}{\sqrt{g}} = \frac{148.39}{\sqrt{32.2}} = 26.15$$

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{33.5}} = \frac{26.15}{2.5} = 4.62$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\gamma_c}{d_0} = 0.98, \Rightarrow \frac{A}{A_0} = 0.995, \frac{R}{R_0} = 1.09$$

$$A = 0.995 \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times (2^2) = 3.13$$

$$R = \frac{1}{4}(2) \times 1.09 = 0.55$$

SLOPE IN THE PIPE IS NOW SUB-CRITICAL

CHECK ORIFICE FLOW

C) ORIFICE FLOW

ASSUME C = 0.62 , H, = 817-765 = 52

$$Q = 112.72 < 148.39$$

: ORIFICE FLOW. CONTROlls IF PRESSURE FLOW DOES NOT,

# EG-4 ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM	SAFETY I	NSPECTI	ON - MISSOUR	SHEET NO OF
	MISSOURI	DAM	11005	JOB NO. 1240-001-1
	SPILLWAY	RATING	CURVE.	BY KLB DATE 5-23-79
				4044

WL AT 817 (CONT)

d) CHECK PRESSURE FLOW.

$$Q = 12.63 \sqrt{52} = 91.08 < 112.72$$

:. AT ELEVATION 817

PRESSURE FLOW CONTROllS

Q = 91.08 CFS

AND FOR All ELEVATIONS ABOVE

817 PRESURE FLOW WILL CONTROL

THAT IS. USE THE EQUATION

Q = 12.63 JA FOR All

ELEVATIONS ABOVE 817.


# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAFET	TY INSPE	CTION - 1	MissouRi	SHEET NO	OF
miss	OURI DAM	11005		JOB NO. /24	0-001-1
COMBINED S	SPILLWAY AND	OVERTOP	PATING CURVES	BY KIB	DATE 5-23-79
				M.R.HV	

RESERVOIR WATER SURFACE ELEV.	HEAD ON DROP INLET STILLWAY (FT) HE	DROP INLEY SPINWAY DISCHARGE Q = 12.63 Jr.	EMERGENCY SPINIWAY DISCHARGE (CFS)	OVERTOP DISCHARGE (CFS)	COMBINED DISCHARGE (CFS)	
8 14	49		_	_	0	
814,5	49.5	*10.10		_	10,	•
815	50	* 28.6		· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	29.	
816	51	*80.77		, <del>-</del> 1	81	•
817	52	91.08		- 1	914.1	
819.6	54,6	93,33	0		93.	
820,85	55.85	94.39	104.49	- :	199	
821.37	56.37	94.83	251.14	294.72	641	
822.99	57,99	96.18	1004.83	3580.78	4682.	
824.49	59.49	97,41	1955, 3	83 16.4	10,369.	

\* WEIR FLOW CONTROLS.

. 1




## ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC. ECI-4

Dam Salety Inspection	n - Missouri SHEET NO. / OF
Woodrighe Lake D	2m - 4/1005 JOB NO. 1240
Reservoir Area Capose	

# WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM Reservoir Area Capacity


Elev. M.S.L. (FL)	Reservoir Surface Arco (Acres)	Incremental Volume (def!)	Total Volume (AcH)	Remarks
765	0	_	0	Est. Strambed C Conter of Dan
780	6.4	52	32	Area measured on U. 545
800	15.6	2/3.	245	Mes messured on U.S. 6.5 map.
814	26	288	535	Service Spillary El.
819.6	31.7	161	c9+ .	Emergency Spillway Erest
820	32,	/3	707	Area massured on U.S. 4.5
821	35	23	740	Top of Dan
840	66	944	1684	Ares messured on U.S.O.S.




WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM (MO. 11005) RESERVOIR CAPACITY CURVE

# ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

DAM SAF	ETY INSPE	CTION/MI	SSOURI	SHEE	T NO OF	
	* MO. 11005				vo. 1240-001	_ 
TROBATOLE	MAXIMUM ?	PRECIPIT	AT UN	BY	MAS DATE 5/22/	Z>
	DAM	no mo.	11005			
	DETERMINA	!				•
	et ermine			1	basin	
	D.A. = 4	ZO ACRES	• • •			<del>.</del>
2, D	etermine.	PMP S	dex Re	inchill Fo	7 D.A = 200 Sq.Mi	
	Location o	f cento	oid of 1	basin	24 NOS. GREACHON	
	Long = 21°10	!			1.0 (From Fig.1.	•
3. 7	etermine)	basin 7	mirfall	interns	of percentage	
	f PHAP D	nder Ro	infall -	for me	ins.	
	durations.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Location:	Long =	9197 3	Lat. =	38 47 25	
	$\Rightarrow z$			! • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Duration	Percent	Total	Rainfall	Dwarken	
		of Index Rainfall	Rainfall		of Increment	
	(HTS.)	(%)	(inches)	(inches)	(Ars.)	
	6.	100	24	24	6	-
	12.	120	28.8	4.8	6	-
	24	130	31.2	2.4.	.12.	
						. +
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						·
			•			•
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			•			



The state of the s



## ELI-4 ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.

- 1. DRAINAGE AREA, A= 420 Ac = 0.66 SQ.Mi.
- 2. LENGTH OF STREAM = (1.95" X 2000' = 3900) = 0.74 mi.
- 3. ELEVATION AT DRAINAGE DIVIDE ALONG THE LONGEST

  STREAM, H, = 930'
- 4. RESER VOIR ELEVATION AT SPINWAY CREST, H2 = 814'
- 5. DIFFERENCE IN ELEVATION AH = 930' 814' = 116'
- 6. AVERAGE SLOPE OF STREAM = 4H = 116 = 2.97%
- 7. TIME OF CONCENTRATION:
  - a) BY KIRPICH FORMULA:

$$T_{c} = \left(\frac{11.9 \times L^{3}}{0.4}\right)^{0.385} = \left(\frac{11.9 \times 0.74^{3}}{46}\right)^{0.385} = 0.29 \text{ Hz}$$

b) By WELOCITY ESTIMATE

USE TC = 0.30 HR

9. UNIT DURATION D = 
$$\frac{Lt}{3} = \frac{6.18}{3} = 0.06 < 0.088$$

	EG-4 ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.
	DAM SAFETY INSPECTION/MISSOURI SHEET NO. 1 OF
	DAM # MO. 11005 JOB NO. 1240-001
_	DETERMINATION OF SOIL GROUP & CURVE NUMBER BY MAS DATE 5/31/
!	MISSOURI DATA # MO 11005
	DETERMINATION OF HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP & SCS CURVE NUMBER
	1. Watershed Soils consist of B, C&D group
	Soils. Soil group D is predominent.
	Assume Soil group D' for the
	entire rateroshed.
٠	2. Most of the realershed is wooded and covered with grows
	Assume Fair condition for infiltration
	Europae.

Thus CN = 79 for group it Sill AMC-II  $\Rightarrow CN = 91$  for AMC-III

HECIDB INPUT DATA

- M.S.

4

. 5	E 80		622499	
. 0	PARAMET		821.37 6	
. O LING	0.55 11005 INDEX PRECIPITATION AND MAT:05, INPUT SCS UNIT HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS 2 0.56 24.0 100 120 165	11.0	821.00 83	1694 640
DAM FAETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI WOOLAIDGE LAKE DAM (11005) PMF AND 50 PENCENT PMF DETERMINATION AND ROUTING 0	# 1140 S	<b>:</b> -	326.PF	740. 821.
DAM TAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI MODIFALDOF LAME DAM (11005) O PENZENT PMF DETERMINATION AND 5.	1.4FUT SC	# 4 Cl		4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
INSPECTI LAKE DAM MF DETER	#AT:05.	0.14 0 1 11005 HYPROGARM THROUGH WOODRINGE LAAE DAM	817.C	819.6 819.6
CAFETY COUNTY OF ENCENT P	TON AND	# C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	416.0	# # # # # # # # # # # #
DAM WO WO SO P	1 CIP1TAT 0.56	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	15.0	6 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
Д С	0.5 11005 18005 18008 284.0	0 1 H 11005 HYDROGHA	814.5	3 5 2 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
3 is 0	INPUT	0000	**************************************	1510569 15 0569 15 7559 16 814 17 821
च ा च ठा छ	าวั <b>นนี</b> รา	<b>-</b> \$×±₹		
i	,			
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	14 P C T C #	****	2000	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #

. 4

INFLOW PMF AND ONE-HALF PMF HYDROGRAPHS

CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT OF

954 5476+ 79/05/129 TIME+ 09-17-08DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI #DODRIGGE LAKE DAM (11035) PMF AND SU PERCENT PMF DETERMINATION AND POUTING NG WHR WHY, IDAY IHR IMIN METRO IPLT IPRT NSTAN 306 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0000 TRACT

FULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO HE DESCRIBATED IN 1990 - 1 ANTION PLANE 1 ANTION 2 LETTER 1

RII0S= 1.03 1.50

SUG-AREA RUNDEF COMPUTATION

INPUT INDEX PRECIPITATION AND RATIOS, AAPUT SOS UNIT HYGROGRAPH FARAMETERS

ISTAG ICOMP IECON ITAPE UPLT UCRT INDME INTAGE TAUTO

ILLOS

0

0
0
0
0
0

IMPDG TUNG TAREA SHAP TESOA TRSPC RATIO ISMON ISAMF LOCAL ; 2 66 0.00 .66 1.00 3.900

SPFE PMS P6 R12 R24 248 R72 R95 D.00 24400 100400 129400 130800 0.00 0.00 0.00 10°16 = "61°00 WETRES\$ # -1.00 [FFECT CM = 91.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH EATA

RECESSION DATA STORE 1200

MAIN HYDROGRAPH 13 END OF PERIDU CHUNNATES. TER 0.00 HOURS. LAGE .38 VOLE 2.00

AL AL MARKET

THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW

	•	_																																												
	HOD	981	993	*****	1000	1007	1024.	1074.	1130.	1169		1001	1717	1213.	1215.	1716.	1216.	1241	13164	1458	1448	1505.	1514	15220	1523.	1525.	1478	1000	1470	2068	C 862.	. 7 4 R.	6 to 1.	5941.	*9847	0,40	2257	1867.	1468.	1467	14.8	1001	1434.	1431	1429.	
	1.055	90.	-	9 6	2 5	. C	0.	J0 •	0	6	2.6			00.	00+	0 4	000	00.		ຸ ຍ • •	•	• 00	9 5	6	000	ပ န <b>၁</b> န	) C	.0.	9	000	30.	100	9 6	00.	ا ن	00.	000	00.	e e	2 G G		90.	0.0	20	<b>0</b> 0	
	EXCS	0.	620	<b>3</b> C		:	• 5 •	• 5 •	• 2 •	5 .	, ,		2		¢.	₹.	<b>4</b>	0.5	3 6		.30				.30	en 6	2 00	• 36	936		<b>.</b>	5.	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	€	. 36	i a	28	ď.	e ;	T 0	. eo		e :	0 en	•28	
	7. 4	0.5	•			£.	•	•		÷ (		, ,		4,7 .	٠,	•	4	- -	5 6	,	330	35.	D C		• 30	30		• 36	3.6	49	1.55	2.55	3 4	\$ \$ C	98.		. 28	8.7.B	£ 5	. c	; aD	• 28	¥ ;	£ 40	٤.	
	กรเตรียว		٠.	n 4		45	15.	45.1	1.7		- :			165	14.6	16.7	<u>.</u>	5 6	) <del>-</del>	. 12	173	174		11	174	62.	3.5	102	S 2 2	1 1 1	1 46	11.7	£ 60 €	190	16	192	6.	195	196	7 5	199	200	201	203	504	
	7 1 T				'n	.6.1		11.1		0.50			( * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	7.0	11.00	· · ·	•			Ñ	÷	14.30		•	14.50	9		∹	15.15	• •		•		ť	٠.	16.00	: =	۳.	•	16.10	٠.	16.40	15045		ů	
	FL32 #040:						1.5.1	10.1					10.1	1.6	10.1	10.1	100		3 5	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1001	10.	10.1		1001	7.	7				1.01		70.7				.01		1.01			1 601		10		
	FAD-OF-PERIOD COMP O	•	•	• • • •	• •	.0	۵.	<b>9</b> •	• •	• •	• ;	• c		٥.	• 0	•	•				•		• • •	14.	1.5.	1 /• 1 i:	6.		21°	53.				24.	• 60	· ·	31.	324	υ. 	4.0	3.0	35.		37.	37.	
	۲۰۵5	.01					10.	.01	.0			12		.01	1.7		10.				• 9.1			5	•01		5.5	10.	9 6	10.	10.	ē:	76	.01	10.	5	6.	0.0	-	7 0	.0.	• 0.1		100	• 0 1	
	Excs	0.0	•	• •		0	•	:	٠,	٠ د			9	0	00.	Q. •	ວ ? • •	7 6	9 6		. a	000	000	00.	0.0	0 0		00.	<b>1</b> 00 0	: ·	.01		700	• 01	1		10.	.0.	50		:	.01	5		• 01	
	7 4 4	12.	1 5	10		•	.01	.31	3	5 6				.01	10.	70				:	13.	<u>.</u>		10.	.01	10.	[ [	• 0 1		-	• 31		70	10.	5			.01	<u>.</u>	10.		10.	70	===	10.	
Š	PERIOS	- (		•	ď	40	~	~	** •	3 ·	- ^	-	-	15	9.	1.7	T.	<u>.</u> :	3.7	ć.	23	2.4	ع ک	2.7	an 1	62	2.5	\$2	2	; <u>.</u> .	3.6	37	0 G	•	<b>.</b>	` `	7	÷	\$ 5		5° **	50	5		ř	,
	я Т.	n -	- (			.39	. 55	0 4 0	ភ្ជា *	D /		1.37	1.13	1.15	1.29	1.75		0000	,	1.50	1.55	2.00		2 1 1 5	2.20	26.55	2.35	2.40	2 4 5	2.55	3403	3.05	3,45	3.70	3.25	200	4	•			4.05	01.4	\$1 C		4.30	•
7	0.04	7.5			1.01	1.01	1.01	1.91	16.	5 5		1001	1.01	1.01	10.1	10.1	10.1	2		1001	10.	10.		1.01	10.	16.1	1.01	10.	100	1.31	1.01		70.7	1.01	1.01	1 0	1001	1.01	0 5			-0-		-		

2<sup>4</sup>-3 4-

1. Fee 4

بمبر سيخ م

1 1		
		ଦ୍ୟ ଅନ୍ତର ଅ
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	153476 4346 4346 3009 76301 1057	
######################################	401 140 140 140 140 140 140	
	72- 72-	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	či F	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	¥ • •	6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6
	1	र ००० ००० ००० ००० ००० ००० ००० ००० ००० ०
	1 140.08	
		1
	•	
	• • •	
	322.         323.         324.         324.         325.         325.         326.           326.         337.         324.         324.         324.         325.         325.         326.           326.         337.         336.         331.         331.         331.         329.         329.         329.           342.         342.         342.         343.         350.         341.         351.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         359.         369. <td>  1960  </td>	1960   1960

•	•			i			1					₽₽.9ª	*682.0B							1		•.
	•				1	I			1			R21.37	98.1.3			•		:				
i :		, ,										æ	ž							000		: : :
•		:	:						1.AUT0 0			821.00	203.63							• • •		
	•	• ~ 4	• •			•			ISTAGE	LS18	ISPRAT	620.A5	199.00	1684	R & 0 .	0.0 0.0				600		
6		15.02	5.55 6.55 8.65			:			11046		110Rb		•	7.0.	821.	CAPEA E			ites			-
÷	-HOU- TOTAL	5.02	528. 652.			:			JPRT	0 0 0	15K	4.14.63 4.63	93.00	101.	£20•	0.3	0 0 0 0 0	1. RATIO	PH ORDINATES	. ត់ ចំ	- n	- 9 5
:	•					:	(00T1%)	CAM		1 13PT	x Yu	P17.00	91.00	694.	820.	0.0	COCD EXPD	05, FL&N 1	END-OF-PERIOD HYDROGRAPH			<b>.</b>
! :	***		\$28. 652.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	HYDROGRAPH ROUTING	SE LAKE S	1	IRES ISAVE	LAG AMSKK 0 0.000	e 16 • 00	81.00	553.	814.	EXPE 0+0		10N 11005.	F-PER130	36. 3. 0.	-2.	• • • • • • • •
. =	6 - H GUR	244 11084	416.				Ä	+ WOODAID	ICCMP IECON	AVG IR 0.00	NST.7L. L					3.0 0.0	10PEL 821.0	STATION		0 0 0	- 1 % .	• 60 6
;	PENK 3571.	• [U]						DROGRAPH THROUGH WOODWIDGE LAKE	15 FAQ   TC(	CL085	NSTPS NS	ets.00	29•03	245.	+00 H	SP.13		:		- 1 - 4 - 0 - 0 - 0		, <b>,</b> ,
	CF3	140ME 3	AC-FT			:		HYDROGRAF	41	0 0.0 0.0 0.0	2	914.50	10.00	35	180.	CREL 814.0		;		* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	) # Q1	e e e
: -	,		THEUS			•••••		ROUTE		•		v⊕•	ن و و و و و و	.*	165.					• • •	• • •	
9						:						9 1 4 1 B	0000 10369•01	C401C:19=	ELEVATIONS					1		
•												STAGE	¥07.	7 a V 3	ELEVA			1				
1	•	, , ,	,	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	,	•	•		•	•			•
<b>2</b> 4.	ن اشاع	چېرو م		·			, 4	, i	<u>.</u>		ز في			. N. 5	- %,1				•	. **	.3,	

SUMMARY OF PMF AND ONE-HALF PMF FLOOD ROUTING

IC COMPUIATIONS

		4 × 0	FLOWS 1	OF PERTOSS N CURTO FFE AREA IN SOL	TEAN THUS STURBOL (IND OF PERIOS) SOPRANT FOR MULIFPLE PLAN-RAILC E. ONOWI FLOWS IN CURIC FFET HER SECOND (CURIC MITERS RECOND) AREA IN SOURHE MILES (SOURME MILES)	NON O
OPERATIO'	STATION	R F F	PLAN	PLAN RATIF 1 RATIF 2	947105 APPLIED TO FLOWS	
HYDREGRAPH AT 11905	11905	.64 1.711	<b>.</b> ~	7143, 3571,	3571. 101.1316	
TOUTED TO	11005	1.711		525% 73256 ( 148-91) ( 65-49) (	7325a 65448)	

.

: **!** 

i

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

•		
	TIPE OF FAILURE HOURS	0.00
12P OF DAM R21.60 740. 205.	TIME OF MAX SUTFLOW HOUPS	15.83
	CURATION OVER TOF HOUPS	5.42
SPILL MAY CREST E14.30 533.	MAKIHUM OUTFLOW OFF	5259. 2325.
14114L 7ALUE S. 414.35 434.0	FOXIDHE STORAGE AC-FI	792.
10 mm	HANT TOM DEPTH OVER DATE	7.14
ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	40.51.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.5.5.0 40.0 40	\$23.00 B
1.47 1	CITAT	00 61
▼1a		

PERCENT OF PMF FLOOD ROUTING EQUAL TO SPILLWAY CAPACITY

PERSON HADIOSRAPH PACKARF RME LAST MODIFICATION 26 F

RUN DATE 19/06/12+ | TIME | 09-16-534

UAM SAFETY INSPECTION - WISSOUR!
WOORRIDGE LAKE DAM (11005)
PERCENT OF PMP DETERMINATION ATD ROUTING

MET YC JOB SPECIFICATION 1919 P LACAT Lup L JOPER 2 O

15. #ULTI-PLAN ATALYSES TO BE DEDFORMED NPLAME 1 NMT10= 9 LRTIU= 1 .26 .27 .28 .29 .50 . 4.25

SUB-AREA RUNDEF COMPUTATION

INPUT INDEX PRECIPITATION AND RATIOS, INJUT SCS UNIT HYDROGRIPH PARAMITERS JPST INAME ISTAGE 15740 ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT

LOCAL 04117 15402 ISAME 0+000 0 0 HYGROGRAPH DATA TRSCA TASPC -65 1.00 PRECIP DATA TAREA 10HG

872 0.00 LOSS DATA HIDL ERAIN STRKS RIIOK 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 0.00 24.00 100.00 120.00 150.00

STRTL C15TL -1.30 -91.03

CURVE NO = -91.60 WETNESS = -1.00 EFFECT CV =

LHOPT STAKE DLTM9


UNIT HYDADGRAPH BATA 0.00 LAG= .18 10= 0.00

U.03 RTION= 1.30 RECESSION DATA STRTG=

1055 HO.DA HR.MN PERIOD RAIN EXCS END-OF-PERIOD FLOW COMP 0 HO.D HAIN EXCS LOSS HRAMM PERTOD

大学 大学

			•	•	•	•		•	:	•			
					HYDROSE	HYDROSRAPH POUTIVE	3.5						
	RGUTE	ROUTE HYDROGRAPH THROUGH WMGURT)6E LAKE DAM	PH THRA	DUCH MUD	04:)6E Li	MKE DAM							:
		~-	18783 11305	1 COMP 1	18001	17APE	JFL¶	159U	INAME	15TAGE	14076		,
		0 0 0 0	0.00.0 0.005°	00.0	#0011   1965   1	ROULLS DAIA	1001	dro!		LSTR 0		÷	:
		7	VSTPS	10154	0 P	A 4 S K K	× 000 0	154	STOR A - 814.	ISPGAT			
974GF	814.63 824.49	814.50	815	815.30	416.63		917.00	319.60	٠ ن	820.85	821.00	821,57	66.526
FLOW 1	0.00 10369.00	10.50	j.	50.66	41.00		01.00	93.10	0.0	u0*661	20. 00	641.00	4(52.30
CAPACITY	r= 0.	32.		243.	535.	• 469		.107	740.	a, 1			
CLEJATION*	N# 765.	780.		300.	.114.	423.		4.211	.166	6			1
;		CREL 814.5	SPE 13		3 4000 x3 4000	EXPU FLEVL		03t C	CAREA	EAFL 1.0		÷	;
	•				TOPEL 421.º	0000 P##	DAM DATA SP EXPD	04410 0.				i,	! ! !
PEAK SUTFLOW IS		172. IT	18.17	ROURS									i
PEAK SUTFLOW IS		188. AT TIME	14.17 HOURS	HOURS					4			1	1
PEAK GUTFLQW IS		281+ AT TIME	18.11 HOURS	HOURS									
PPAK OUTFLANTS		"162. AT TIPE	14.08 HJURS	HJURS									
PEAK SUTFLOW TS	<b>.</b>	T. AT TIME	18.0 HOUPS	Senon									
PEAK GUTFLON TE		SHOOM OSPAT BUTL AV 948	17.50	S #P CH			•		1			,	
PEAN DUTFLOW TE	•	396. AT TINE 17.25 HOJRS	17.25	2 PL OH		•							
DE SK OWELDA 18		426. AT TIME 17.17 HOURS	17.17	HOURS					1	• .		•	i



PEAK FLOW AND STOKAGE (FID OF PERTON) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLANSHATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS Flows to course feet per Secto (Cupic Meers Second) Afra in Square Miles (Schare Hilometens)

0PE9AT [0h;	STAT134	AREA	PLAN	PLAN GATEG 1	RATIO .	KATIOS APPL ATID 2 8	KATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS ANIO Z RATIO 4 947	44710 5 44710 5	RATID 6	94710 5 RATIO 6 SATIO 7 RATIG 6 RATIC 9	RATID 8	RATIC 9	
HWD2059AFH AT	11005	1.713		1786. 50.5c)(	1097.	1924.	2000.	2071. 52.651(	2145.	2214.	2286. 64.72)(	2357.	
	) 50011	1.713	_~~	172.	1' ". 5.31)(	231.	7.4116	311.	344.	390.	428.	13.091	
			•	•									
								,					
	•												
1		,			•							•	
											,		
		1											
	٠												

AD-A105 011 PRC CONSOER TOWNSEND INC ST LOUIS MO ANTIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. WOODRIDGE LAKE DAM (MO 11005), MIS-ETC(U) SEP 79 W 6 SHIFRIN DACW43-79-C-0075 NL

2 of 2 END BATE (1980) (0 -81 of 10

# STEATHER OF DAM GAFETY ARALYSTS

10P OF DAM 921.00 203.		TIME OF TIME OF MAX DUTPLOW FAILURE HOURS
SPILLUMY CREST TOP BIM-30 SSS. 553.	CURATION OVER TOP HOURS	COC
	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	11.72 11.72 12.62 13.62 13.42 14.43 14.43 14.43
14111AL VALUE 1414-00 533- 04	STORAGE ACES	2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
	MAKINUM DEPTH OVER DAM	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00
LLEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR B.S.ELEV	R20.55 820.75 820.91 821.05 821.05 821.05 821.15
PLAN 1	. 9 & T10	

